



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/665,412	09/20/2000	Tetsuji Shono	P19597	7432
7055	7590 01/13/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			SELBY, GEVELL V	
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2615	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Advisory Action	09/665,412	SHONO, TETSUJI	
, and one	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gevell Selby	2615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the control of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 is sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	n
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 December 2004</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE helow):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		(300 110 12 Bolow),	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	•	erially reducing or simplifying the	те
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendmen	ıt
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>8 and 11</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5 and 6</u> .			

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,4,7,9 and 10.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

8. The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ____

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/665,412

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations to claims 1 and 7 were considered, but further examination with a more comprehesive search and consideration is required to determine the allowability of the amended claims. Therefore, the amendment was not enterd.